


 Financial Institution Name:
 Location (Country) :

 Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A.
 Torino, Italy

The questionnaire is required to be answered on a Legal Entity (LE) Level. The Financial Institution should answer the questionnaire at the legal entity level including any branches for which the client base, products and control model are materially similar to the LE Head Office. This questionnaire should not cover more than one LE. Each question in the CBDDQ will need to be addressed from the perspective of the LE and on behalf of all of its branches. If a response for the LE differs for one of its branches, this needs to be highlighted and details regarding this difference captured at the end of each sub-section. If a branch's business activity (products offered, client base etc.) is materially different than its Entity Head Office, a separate questionnaire can be completed for that branch.

No #	Question	Answer
1. ENTITY & OWNERSHIP		
1	Full Legal Name	Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A.
2	Append a list of foreign branches which are covered by this questionnaire	The foreign branches which are covered by this questionnaire are listed at the following link: https://group.intesasanpaolo.com/en/about-us/international-presence
3	Full Legal (Registered) Address	Piazza San Carlo, 156 - 10121 Torino
4	Full Primary Business Address (if different from above)	Via Monte di Pietà, 8 - 20121 Milano
5	Date of Entity incorporation/establishment	1 January 2007
6	Select type of ownership and append an ownership chart if available	
6 a	Publicly Traded (25% of shares publicly traded)	Yes
6 a1	If Y, indicate the exchange traded on and ticker symbol	Borsa Italiana S.p.A., ISIN Code IT0000072618, Ticker ISP
6 b	Member Owned/Mutual	No
6 c	Government or State Owned by 25% or more	No
6 d	Privately Owned	Yes
6 d1	If Y, provide details of shareholders or ultimate beneficial owners with a holding of 10% or more	There are no shareholders or ultimate beneficial owners with a holding of 10% or more.
7	% of the Entity's total shares composed of bearer shares	0%
8	Does the Entity, or any of its branches, operate under an Offshore Banking License (OBL)?	No
8 a	If Y, provide the name of the relevant branch/es which operate under an OBL	
9	Does the Bank have a Virtual Bank License or provide services only through online channels?	No
10	Name of primary financial regulator/supervisory authority	Banca d'Italia
11	Provide Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) if available	2W8N8UU78PMDQKZENC08
12	Provide the full legal name of the ultimate parent (if different from the Entity completing the DDQ)	Not Applicable

13	Jurisdiction of licensing authority and regulator of ultimate parent	Not Applicable
14	Select the business areas applicable to the Entity	
14 a	Retail Banking	Yes
14 b	Private Banking	Yes
14 c	Commercial Banking	Yes
14 d	Transactional Banking	Yes
14 e	Investment Banking	Yes
14 f	Financial Markets Trading	Yes
14 g	Securities Services/Custody	Yes
14 h	Broker/Dealer	Yes
14 i	Multilateral Development Bank	Yes
14 j	Wealth Management	Yes
14 k	Other (please explain)	
15	Does the Entity have a significant (10% or more) portfolio of non-resident customers or does it derive more than 10% of its revenue from non-resident customers? (Non-resident means customers primarily resident in a different jurisdiction to the location where bank services are provided)	No
15 a	If Y, provide the top five countries where the non-resident customers are located.	
16	Select the closest value:	
16 a	Number of employees	10001+
16 b	Total Assets	Greater than \$500 million
17	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches.	No
17 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	10: ISP foreign branches are also regulated by their respective National Competent Authorities. 14: foreign branches do not offer any service/product to retail customers and the product range may differ from branch to branch.
18	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	6: the shareholder base is indicated at the following link: https://group.intesasanpaolo.com/en/investor-relations/shareholder-base
2. PRODUCTS & SERVICES		
19	Does the Entity offer the following products and services:	
19 a	Correspondent Banking	Yes
19 a1	If Y	
19 a1a	Does the Entity offer Correspondent Banking services to domestic banks?	Yes
19 a1b	Does the Entity allow domestic bank clients to provide downstream relationships?	Yes
19 a1c	Does the Entity have processes and procedures in place to identify downstream relationships with domestic banks?	Yes
19 a1d	Does the Entity offer Correspondent Banking services to foreign banks?	Yes
19 a1e	Does the Entity allow downstream relationships with foreign banks?	Yes
19 a1f	Does the Entity have processes and procedures in place to identify downstream relationships with foreign banks?	Yes
19 a1g	Does the Entity offer Correspondent Banking services to regulated Money Services Businesses (MSBs)/Money Value Transfer Services (MVTs)?	Yes
19 a1h	Does the Entity allow downstream relationships with MSBs, MVTs, or Payment Service Provider (PSPs)?	
19 a1h1	MSBs	Yes
19 a1h2	MVTs	Yes
19 a1h3	PSPs	Yes

19 a1i	Does the Entity have processes and procedures in place to identify downstream relationships with MSBs /MVTs/PSPs?	Yes
19 b	Cross-Border Bulk Cash Delivery	No
19 c	Cross-Border Remittances	Yes
19 d	Domestic Bulk Cash Delivery	No
19 e	Hold Mail	No
19 f	International Cash Letter	Yes
19 g	Low Price Securities	No
19 h	Payable Through Accounts	No
19 i	Payment services to non-bank entities who may then offer third party payment services to their customers?	Yes
19 i1	If Y , please select all that apply below?	
19 i2	Third Party Payment Service Providers	Yes
19 i3	Virtual Asset Service Providers (VASPs)	Yes
19 i4	eCommerce Platforms	Yes
19 i5	Other - Please explain	
19 j	Private Banking	Both
19 k	Remote Deposit Capture (RDC)	No
19 l	Sponsoring Private ATMs	No
19 m	Stored Value Instruments	Yes
19 n	Trade Finance	Yes
19 o	Virtual Assets	No
19 p	For each of the following please state whether you offer the service to walk-in customers and if so, the applicable level of due diligence:	
19 p1	Check cashing service	Yes
19 p1a	If yes, state the applicable level of due diligence	Due diligence
19 p2	Wire transfers	Yes
19 p2a	If yes, state the applicable level of due diligence	Due diligence
19 p3	Foreign currency conversion	Yes
19 p3a	If yes, state the applicable level of due diligence	Due diligence
19 p4	Sale of Monetary Instruments	Yes
19 p4a	If yes, state the applicable level of due diligence	Due diligence
19 p5	If you offer other services to walk-in customers please provide more detail here, including describing the level of due diligence.	
19 q	Other high-risk products and services identified by the Entity (please specify)	19c: all occasional transaction at branch above 1000eur are subject to DD and a form is filled by the client in order to understand origin of funds and scope of transaction. 19p: walkin customers services are mainly tax payment and withdraw of checks. The client is subject to sanction screening and AML DD.
20	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches.	No
20 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	19: ISP foreign branches might not have the same services/products offering, as well as the respective authorisations, that are in place in Head Office. In any case, those branches cannot offer any service/product to retail customers. 19k: ISP New York does offer Remote Deposit Capture to selected customer types.
21	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	19a1e/19a1h: ISP and Foreign Branches do not promote downstream clearing to other FIs; however ISP and Shanghai Branch, acting as authorized clearer within payment systems, may process transactions on behalf of group entities or client Foreign Banks/regulated MSBs/MVTs/PSPs. 19a1g: MSBs/MVTs are always subject to EDD and rated with the highest AML risk profile.
3. AML, CTF & SANCTIONS PROGRAMME		
22	Does the Entity have a programme that sets minimum AML, CTF and Sanctions standards regarding the following components:	
22 a	Appointed Officer with sufficient	Yes
22 b	Adverse Information Screening	Yes
22 c	Beneficial Ownership	Yes
22 d	Cash Reporting	Yes
22 e	CDD	Yes
22 f	EDD	Yes
22 g	Independent Testing	Yes
22 h	Periodic Review	Yes
22 i	Policies and Procedures	Yes
22 j	PEP Screening	Yes
22 k	Risk Assessment	Yes
22 l	Sanctions	Yes

22 m	Suspicious Activity Reporting	Yes
22 n	Training and Education	Yes
22 o	Transaction Monitoring	Yes
23	How many full time employees are in the Entity's AML, CTF & Sanctions Compliance Department?	101-500
24	Is the Entity's AML, CTF & Sanctions policy approved at least annually by the Board or equivalent Senior Management Committee? If N, describe your practice in Question 29.	Yes
25	Does the Board receive, assess, and challenge regular reporting on the status of the AML, CTF, & Sanctions programme?	Yes
26	Does the Entity use third parties to carry out any components of its AML, CTF & Sanctions programme?	Yes
26 a	If Y, provide further details	Starting from Q3 2022, only for ISP Italy, part of the customer's data and documents collection activity, functional to the CDD is outsourced to an external provider through an automated data feed embedded in the KYC process. The functionality is an add-on of the standard processes to acquire data for AML purposes.
27	Does the entity have a whistleblower policy?	Yes
28	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	No
28 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	22g: Internal Audit in NY may retain external consultants to support the team in technical validations of the Branch systems, pursuant to US requirements. 26: based on needs, foreign branches may retain consultant to assist AML/CTF - Compliance personnel in execution of requirements.
29	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	22d: foreign branches do not deal with cash. 22g: the Internal Audit function of ISP is in charge to test and assess AML, CTF and Sanction policies and procedures on regular basis. ISP Madrid undergoes an annual external audit in alignment with the Spanish AML/CTF regulatory requirements.
4. ANTI BRIBERY & CORRUPTION		
30	Has the Entity documented policies and procedures consistent with applicable ABC regulations and requirements to reasonably prevent, detect and report bribery and corruption?	Yes
31	Does the Entity have an enterprise wide programme that sets minimum ABC standards?	Yes
32	Has the Entity appointed a designated officer or officers with sufficient experience/expertise responsible for coordinating the ABC programme?	Yes
33	Does the Entity have adequate staff with appropriate levels of experience/expertise to implement the ABC programme?	Yes
34	Is the Entity's ABC programme applicable to:	Third parties acting on behalf of the Entity
35	Does the Entity have a global ABC policy that:	
35 a	Prohibits the giving and receiving of bribes? This includes promising, offering, giving, solicitation or receiving of anything of value, directly or indirectly, if improperly intended to influence action or obtain an advantage.	Yes
35 b	Includes enhanced requirements regarding interaction with public officials?	Yes
35 c	Includes a prohibition against the falsification of books and records (this may be within the ABC policy or any other policy applicable to the Legal Entity)?	Yes
36	Does the Entity have controls in place to monitor the effectiveness of their ABC programme?	Yes
37	Does the Board receive, assess, and challenge regular reporting on the status of the ABC programme?	Yes
38	Has the Entity's ABC Enterprise Wide Risk Assessment (EWRA) been completed in the last 12 months?	Yes
38 a	If N, provide the date when the last ABC EWRA was completed.	
39	Does the Entity have an ABC residual risk rating that is the net result of the controls effectiveness and the inherent risk assessment?	Yes
40	Does the Entity's ABC EWRA cover the inherent risk components detailed below:	Yes
40 a	Potential liability created by intermediaries and other third-party providers as appropriate	Yes

40 b	Corruption risks associated with the countries and industries in which the Entity does business, directly or through intermediaries	Yes
40 c	Transactions, products or services, including those that involve state-owned or state-controlled entities or public officials	Yes
40 d	Corruption risks associated with gifts and hospitality, hiring/internships, charitable donations and political contributions	Yes
40 e	Changes in business activities that may materially increase the Entity's corruption risk	Yes
41	Does the Entity's internal audit function or other independent third party cover ABC Policies and Procedures?	Yes
42	Does the Entity provide mandatory ABC training to:	
42 a	Board and senior Committee Management	Yes
42 b	1st Line of Defence	Yes
42 c	2nd Line of Defence	Yes
42 d	3rd Line of Defence	Yes
42 e	Third parties to which specific compliance activities subject to ABC risk have been outsourced	No
42 f	Non-employed workers as appropriate (contractors/consultants)	No
43	Does the Entity provide ABC training that is targeted to specific roles, responsibilities and activities?	Yes
44	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
44 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
45	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	34: ABC policies are extended to all entities of ISP Group. The corporate joint venture are not consolidated in ISP financial statement and accordingly are out of scope of ABC policy extension. 42f: non-employed workers must respect ISP policies (in regard to ABC risks) as part of their contract/agreement.
5. AML, CTF & SANCTIONS POLICIES & PROCEDURES		
46	Has the Entity documented policies and procedures consistent with applicable AML, CTF & Sanctions regulations and requirements to reasonably prevent, detect and report:	
46 a	Money laundering	Yes
46 b	Terrorist financing	Yes
46 c	Sanctions violations	Yes
47	Are the Entity's policies and procedures updated at least annually?	Yes
48	Has the Entity chosen to compare its policies and procedures against:	
48 a	U.S. Standards	Yes
48 a1	If Y, does the Entity retain a record of the results?	Yes
48 b	EU Standards	Yes
48 b1	If Y, does the Entity retain a record of the results?	Yes
49	Does the Entity have policies and procedures that:	
49 a	Prohibit the opening and keeping of anonymous and fictitious named accounts	Yes
49 b	Prohibit the opening and keeping of accounts for unlicensed banks and/or NBFIs	Yes
49 c	Prohibit dealing with other entities that provide banking services to unlicensed banks	Yes
49 d	Prohibit accounts/relationships with shell banks	Yes
49 e	Prohibit dealing with another entity that provides services to shell banks	Yes
49 f	Prohibit opening and keeping of accounts for Section 311 designated entities	Yes
49 g	Prohibit opening and keeping of accounts for any of unlicensed/unregulated remittance agents, exchanges houses, casa de cambio, bureaux de change or money transfer agents	Yes
49 h	Assess the risks of relationships with domestic and foreign PEPs, including their family and close associates	Yes

49 i	Define the process for escalating financial crime risk issues/potentially suspicious activity identified by employees	Yes
49 j	Define the process, where appropriate, for terminating existing customer relationships due to financial crime risk	Yes
49 k	Define the process for exiting clients for financial crime reasons that applies across the entity, including foreign branches and affiliates	Yes
49 l	Define the process and controls to identify and handle customers that were previously exited for financial crime reasons if they seek to re-establish a relationship	Yes
49 m	Outline the processes regarding screening for sanctions, PEPs and Adverse Media/Negative News	Yes
49 n	Outline the processes for the maintenance of internal "watchlists"	Yes
50	Has the Entity defined a risk tolerance statement or similar document which defines a risk boundary around their business?	Yes
51	Does the Entity have record retention procedures that comply with applicable laws?	Yes
51 a	If Y, what is the retention period?	5 years or more
52	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
52 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
53	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	49c) & 49e): ISP prohibits relationships with Unlicensed Banks, Shell Banks & Shell Entities. Before establishing any relationship with a Respondent Bank, ISP ensures that the Respondent Bank's policies and procedures also prohibit the same. Provided the above, relationship with the Respondent Bank is blocked/exited.
6. AML, CTF & SANCTIONS RISK ASSESSMENT		
54	Does the Entity's AML & CTF EWRA cover the inherent risk components detailed below:	
54 a	Client	Yes
54 b	Product	Yes
54 c	Channel	Yes
54 d	Geography	Yes
55	Does the Entity's AML & CTF EWRA cover the controls effectiveness components detailed below:	
55 a	Transaction Monitoring	Yes
55 b	Customer Due Diligence	Yes
55 c	PEP Identification	Yes
55 d	Transaction Screening	Yes
55 e	Name Screening against Adverse Media/Negative News	Yes
55 f	Training and Education	Yes
55 g	Governance	Yes
55 h	Management Information	Yes
56	Has the Entity's AML & CTF EWRA been completed in the last 12 months?	Yes
56 a	If N, provide the date when the last AML & CTF EWRA was completed.	
57	Does the Entity's Sanctions EWRA cover the inherent risk components detailed below:	
57 a	Client	Yes
57 b	Product	Yes
57 c	Channel	No
57 d	Geography	Yes
58	Does the Entity's Sanctions EWRA cover the controls effectiveness components detailed below:	
58 a	Customer Due Diligence	Yes
58 b	Governance	Yes
58 c	List Management	Yes
58 d	Management Information	Yes

58 e	Name Screening	Yes
58 f	Transaction Screening	Yes
58 g	Training and Education	Yes
59	Has the Entity's Sanctions EWRA been completed in the last 12 months?	Yes
59 a	If N, provide the date when the last Sanctions EWRA was completed.	
60	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
60 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
61	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	57c: the Entity's Sanctions EWRA does not cover the inherent risk channel as it is not relevant; the risk is considered relevant with reference to counterparty/country/subject involved in the transaction. 60: ISPNY has also its own BSA/AML and Sanctions/OFAC Risk Assessment.
7. KYC, CDD and EDD		
62	Does the Entity verify the identity of the customer?	Yes
63	Do the Entity's policies and procedures set out when CDD must be completed, e.g. at the time of onboarding or within 30 days?	Yes
64	Which of the following does the Entity gather and retain when conducting CDD? Select all that apply:	
64 a	Customer identification	Yes
64 b	Expected activity	Yes
64 c	Nature of business/employment	Yes
64 d	Ownership structure	Yes
64 e	Product usage	Yes
64 f	Purpose and nature of relationship	Yes
64 g	Source of funds	Yes
64 h	Source of wealth	Yes
65	Are each of the following identified:	
65 a	Ultimate beneficial ownership	Yes
65 a1	Are ultimate beneficial owners verified?	Yes
65 b	Authorised signatories (where applicable)	Yes
65 c	Key controllers	Yes
65 d	Other relevant parties	Yes
66	What is the Entity's minimum (lowest) threshold applied to beneficial ownership identification?	25%
67	Does the due diligence process result in customers receiving a risk classification?	Yes
67 a	If Y, what factors/criteria are used to determine the customer's risk classification? Select all that apply:	
67 a1	Product Usage	Yes
67 a2	Geography	Yes
67 a3	Business Type/Industry	Yes
67 a4	Legal Entity type	Yes
67 a5	Adverse Information	Yes
67 a6	Other (specify)	
68	For high risk non-individual customers, is a site visit a part of your KYC process?	Yes
68 a	If Y, is this at:	
68 a1	Onboarding	No
68 a2	KYC renewal	No
68 a3	Trigger event	No
68 a4	Other	Yes
68 a4a	If yes, please specify "Other"	ISP may carry out on-site inspections (including through in-depth enquiries with the relevant contact persons of the Account-holder) as well as spot checks to ascertain the effectiveness of the Account-holder's anti-money laundering policies and procedures.
69	Does the Entity have a risk based approach to screening customers for Adverse Media/Negative News?	Yes
69 a	If Y, is this at:	
69 a1	Onboarding	Yes
69 a2	KYC renewal	Yes

69 a3	Trigger event	Yes
70	What is the method used by the Entity to screen for Adverse Media/Negative News?	Combination of automated and manual
71	Does the Entity have a risk based approach to screening customers and connected parties to determine whether they are PEPs, or controlled by PEPs?	Yes
71 a	If Y, is this at:	
71 a1	Onboarding	Yes
71 a2	KYC renewal	Yes
71 a3	Trigger event	Yes
72	What is the method used by the Entity to screen PEPs?	Combination of automated and manual
73	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate potential matches from screening customers and connected parties to determine whether they are PEPs, or controlled by PEPs?	Yes
74	Is KYC renewed at defined frequencies based on risk rating (Periodic Reviews)?	Yes
74 a	If yes, select all that apply:	
74 a1	Less than one year	No
74 a2	1 – 2 years	Yes
74 a3	3 – 4 years	Yes
74 a4	5 years or more	No
74 a5	Trigger-based or perpetual monitoring reviews	Yes
74 a6	Other (Please specify)	CDD periodic review: 12 months for high risk range; 18 months for medium-high risk range; 48 months for medium-low risk range. For clients that are Financial Institutions: 12 months for high and medium-high risk range, 24 months for medium-low risk range, 36 months low risk range
75	Does the Entity maintain and report metrics on current and past periodic or trigger event due diligence reviews?	Yes
76	From the list below, which categories of customers or industries are subject to EDD and/or are restricted, or prohibited by the Entity's FCC programme?	
76 a	Arms, defence, military	Restricted
76 b	Respondent Banks	EDD on risk-based approach
76 b1	If EDD or restricted, does the EDD assessment contain the elements as set out in the Wolfsberg Correspondent Banking Principles 2022?	Yes
76 c	Embassies/Consulates	EDD on risk-based approach
76 d	Extractive industries	EDD on risk-based approach
76 e	Gambling customers	EDD on risk-based approach
76 f	General Trading Companies	EDD on risk-based approach
76 g	Marijuana-related Entities	Restricted
76 h	MSB/MVTS customers	Always subject to EDD
76 i	Non-account customers	EDD on risk-based approach
76 j	Non-Government Organisations	EDD on risk-based approach
76 k	Non-resident customers	EDD on risk-based approach
76 l	Nuclear power	EDD on risk-based approach
76 m	Payment Service Providers	EDD on risk-based approach
76 n	PEPs	Always subject to EDD
76 o	PEP Close Associates	Always subject to EDD
76 p	PEP Related	Always subject to EDD
76 q	Precious metals and stones	EDD on risk-based approach
76 r	Red light businesses/Adult entertainment	EDD on risk-based approach
76 s	Regulated charities	EDD on risk-based approach
76 t	Shell banks	Prohibited
76 u	Travel and Tour Companies	EDD on risk-based approach
76 v	Unregulated charities	EDD on risk-based approach
76 w	Used Car Dealers	EDD on risk-based approach
76 x	Virtual Asset Service Providers	Always subject to EDD
76 y	Other (specify)	Coal mining and coal power:EDD & restricted on a risk based approach.76a:ISP deals with Italian customers if licenced by Italian/EU gov.For Foreign customers of the Foreign Branches any type of banking activity or lending related to the production and/or sale of weapons that are controversial and/or banned is forbidden.76o:with the exception of public administrations,whose beneficial owner is PEP.
77	If restricted, provide details of the restriction	76k: Specific restrictions are established according to the internal policies on relationships and transactions with customers based in Countries with an high risk of ML/TF.
78	Does EDD require senior business management and/or compliance approval?	Yes

78 a	If Y indicate who provides the approval:	Both
79	Does the Entity have specific procedures for onboarding entities that handle client money such as lawyers, accountants, consultants, real estate agents?	No
80	Does the Entity perform an additional control or quality review on clients subject to EDD?	Yes
81	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	No
81 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to	ISPNY does not onboard MVTs/ MSBs customers and entities affiliated with 76g, 76e, 76m. ISP Singapore does not onboard entities affiliated with 76g. It is not in the LONDON client on boarding appetite to on board Marijuana-related Entities (76 g). ISP Doha does not on-board customers and entities affiliated with 76e, 76g, 76r and 76x.
82	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	76g:allowed for medical purposes in line with Italian legislation. 76r:onboarding of red light business' relationship is not recommended.64h:only in case of EDD-PEP-PIV.66:ISPNY applies for identification of UBO: (i) a threshold:of 10% for high risk customers; (ii) up to 25% for low and medium risk. ISPDoha applies a treshold of 20% for all customers.
8. MONITORING & REPORTING		
83	Does the Entity have risk based policies, procedures and monitoring processes for the identification and reporting of suspicious activity?	Yes
84	What is the method used by the Entity to monitor transactions for suspicious activities?	Automated
84 a	If manual or combination selected, specify what type of transactions are monitored manually	
84 b	If automated or combination selected, are internal system or vendor-sourced tools used?	Both
84 b1	If 'Vendor-sourced tool' or 'Both' selected, what is the name of the vendor/tool?	SymphonyAI Net Reveal
84 b2	When was the tool last updated?	< 1 year
84 b3	When was the automated Transaction Monitoring application last calibrated?	< 1 year
85	Does the Entity have regulatory requirements to report suspicious transactions?	Yes
85 a	If Y, does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to comply with suspicious transaction reporting requirements?	Yes
86	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate matters arising from the monitoring of customer transactions and activity?	Yes
87	Does the Entity have a data quality management programme to ensure that complete data for all transactions are subject to monitoring?	Yes
88	Does the Entity have processes in place to respond to Request For Information (RFIs) from other entities in a timely manner?	Yes
89	Does the Entity have processes in place to send Requests for Information (RFIs) to their customers in a timely manner?	Yes
90	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
90 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to	
91	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	ISP decided to adopt NetReveal as the target platform to support the TXM framework; for the Italian branches, the AML risk is monitored with the supplement of detections produced by ISP proprietary algorithms.The Amsterdam Branch uses SafeWatch Profiling instead of Neteveal.
9. PAYMENT TRANSPARENCY		
92	Does the Entity adhere to the Wolfsberg Group Payment Transparency Standards?	Yes

93	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to comply with and have controls in place to ensure compliance with:	
93 a	FATF Recommendation 16	Yes
93 b	Local Regulations	Yes
93 b1	If Y, specify the regulation	Italian Legislative Decree no. 231/2007 as subsequently amended, Regulation (EU) 2015/847 and the EBA "ML/TF Risk Factors Guidelines" dated 1 March 2021.
93 c	If N, explain	
94	Does the Entity have controls to support the inclusion of required and accurate originator information in cross border payment messages?	Yes
95	Does the Entity have controls to support the inclusion of required beneficiary information cross-border payment messages?	Yes
95 a	If Y, does the Entity have procedures to include beneficiary address including country in cross border payments?	Yes
96	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
96 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
97	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	
10. SANCTIONS		
98	Does the Entity have a Sanctions Policy approved by management regarding compliance with sanctions law applicable to the Entity, including with respect to its business conducted with, or through accounts held at foreign financial institutions?	Yes
99	Does the Entity have policies, procedures, or other controls reasonably designed to prevent the use of another entity's accounts or services in a manner causing the other entity to violate sanctions prohibitions applicable to the other entity (including prohibitions within the other entity's local jurisdiction)?	Yes
100	Does the Entity have policies, procedures or other controls reasonably designed to prohibit and/or detect actions taken to evade applicable sanctions prohibitions, such as stripping, or the resubmission and/or masking, of sanctions relevant information in cross border transactions?	Yes
101	Does the Entity screen its customers, including beneficial ownership information collected by the Entity, during onboarding and regularly thereafter against Sanctions Lists?	Yes
102	What is the method used by the Entity for sanctions screening?	Both Automated and Manual
102 a	If 'automated' or 'both automated and manual' selected:	
102 a1	Are internal system of vendor-sourced tools used?	Vendor-sourced tools
102 a1a	If a 'vendor-sourced tool' or 'both' selected, what is the name of the vendor/tool?	Safewatch Filtering by Eastnets
102 a2	When did you last test the effectiveness (of finding true matches) and completeness (lack of missing data) of the matching configuration of the automated tool? (If 'Other' please explain in Question 110)	< 1 year
103	Does the Entity screen all sanctions relevant data, including at a minimum, entity and location information, contained in cross border transactions against Sanctions Lists?	Yes
104	What is the method used by the Entity?	Combination of automated and manual

105	Does the Entity have a data quality management programme to ensure that complete data for all transactions are subject to sanctions screening?	Yes
106	Select the Sanctions Lists used by the Entity in its sanctions screening processes:	
106 a	Consolidated United Nations Security Council Sanctions List (UN)	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data
106 b	United States Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC)	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data
106 c	Office of Financial Sanctions Implementation HMT (OFSI)	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data
106 d	European Union Consolidated List (EU)	Used for screening customers and beneficial owners and for filtering transactional data
106 e	Lists maintained by other G7 member countries	Not used
106 f	Other (specify)	Internal Lists (including those issued by Italian regulatory Authority), selected World Check lists and local mandatory lists for foreign branches.
107	When regulatory authorities make updates to their Sanctions list, how many business days before the entity updates their active manual and/or automated screening systems against:	
107 a	Customer Data	Same day to 2 business days
107 b	Transactions	Same day to 2 business days
108	Does the Entity have a physical presence, e.g. branches, subsidiaries, or representative offices located in countries/regions against which UN, OFAC, OFSI, EU or G7 member countries have enacted comprehensive jurisdiction-based Sanctions?	No
109	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
109 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
110	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	99: ISP adopts sanction policies in order to comply with UN, EU, US (OFAC) and UK sanction programs and local regulations and prohibitions where an international branch/subsidiary of the Bank is located.
11. TRAINING & EDUCATION		
111	Does the Entity provide mandatory training, which includes:	
111 a	Identification and reporting of transactions to government authorities	Yes
111 b	Examples of different forms of money laundering, terrorist financing and sanctions violations relevant for the types of products and services offered	Yes
111 c	Internal policies for controlling money laundering, terrorist financing and sanctions violations	Yes
111 d	New issues that occur in the market, e.g. significant regulatory actions or new regulations	Yes
111 e	Conduct and Culture	Yes
111 f	Fraud	Yes
112	Is the above mandatory training provided to:	
112 a	Board and Senior Committee Management	Yes
112 b	1st Line of Defence	Yes
112 c	2nd Line of Defence	Yes
112 d	3rd Line of Defence	Yes
112 e	Third parties to which specific FCC activities have been outsourced	Yes
112 f	Non-employed workers (contractors/consultants)	No
113	Does the Entity provide AML, CTF & Sanctions training that is targeted to specific roles, responsibilities and high-risk products, services and activities?	Yes
114	Does the Entity provide customised training for AML, CTF and Sanctions staff?	Yes
114 a	If Y, how frequently is training delivered?	Other
115	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	No

115 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	114: foreign branches can decide autonomously if to provide customised training for AML, CTF and Sanctions staff. 112f: ISP London and ISP Warsaw provides training to Non-employed workers (contractors and consultants). 112e: ISP New York has not third parties to which specific FCC activities have been outsourced.
116	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	112e: since the KYC outsourced activity is an automated IT process, ISP provides specific rules and instructions to be used in the automatic process by the external provider. 112f: contractors/consultants engaged by ISP are subject to AFC training delivered by their own employer and they must adhere to ISP policies. 114a: ISP provides comprehensive training and educational programme and related updates (live and digital) on the company platform.
12. QUALITY ASSURANCE /COMPLIANCE TESTING		
117	Does the Entity have a program wide risk based Quality Assurance programme for financial crime (separate from the independent Audit function)?	Yes
118	Does the Entity have a program wide risk based Compliance Testing process (separate from the independent Audit function)?	Yes
119	Confirm that all responses provided in the above Section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
119 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
120	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	ISP has 2nd level controls conducted by: (i) QA functions within AFC Dept.for KYC, TXM & Sanction; (ii) Compliance, that target several KPIs throughout the AML processes to verify coherence and completeness of investigation documents, robustness of investigation activities and application of methodological standards.
13. AUDIT		
121	In addition to inspections by the government supervisors/regulators, does the Entity have an internal audit function, a testing function or other independent third party, or both, that assesses FCC AML, CTF, ABC, Fraud and Sanctions policies and practices on a regular basis?	Yes
122	How often is the Entity audited on its AML, CTF, ABC, Fraud and Sanctions programme by the following:	
122 a	Internal Audit Department	Component-based reviews
122 b	External Third Party	Component-based reviews
123	Does the internal audit function or other independent third party cover the following areas:	
123 a	AML, CTF, ABC, Fraud and Sanctions policy and procedures	Yes
123 b	Enterprise Wide Risk Assessment	Yes
123 c	Governance	Yes
123 d	KYC/CDD/EDD and underlying methodologies	Yes
123 e	Name Screening & List Management	Yes
123 f	Reporting/Metrics & Management Information	Yes
123 g	Suspicious Activity Filing	Yes
123 h	Technology	Yes
123 i	Transaction Monitoring	Yes
123 j	Transaction Screening including for sanctions	Yes
123 k	Training & Education	Yes
123 l	Other (specify)	
124	Are adverse findings from internal & external audit tracked to completion and assessed for adequacy and completeness?	Yes
125	Confirm that all responses provided in the above section are representative of all the LE's branches	No
125 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	Internal Audit in ISPNY performs an Independent Testing of BSA/AML/OFAC Program on a regular basis. ISP Madrid undergoes an annual external AML/CTF audit.
126	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	122a: With regards the frequency of AML/BSA/OFAC audits, ISPNY annually performs a risk based Independent Testing review; the entire AML/BSA/OFAC program is verified within 18 months.
14. FRAUD		
127	Does the Entity have policies in place addressing fraud risk?	Yes
128	Does the Entity have a dedicated team responsible for preventing & detecting fraud?	Yes

129	Does the Entity have real time monitoring to detect fraud?	Yes
130	Do the Entity's processes include gathering additional information to support its fraud controls, for example: IP address, GPS location, and/or device ID?	Yes
131	Confirm that all responses provided in the above section are representative of all the LE's branches	Yes
131 a	If N, clarify which questions the difference/s relate to and the branch/es that this applies to.	
132	If appropriate, provide any additional information/context to the answers in this section.	LE's branches are monitored directly by Intesa Sanpaolo fraud's management team.

Declaration Statement

Wolfsberg Group Correspondent Banking Due Diligence Questionnaire 2023 (CBDDQ V1.4)
 Declaration Statement (To be signed by Global Head of Correspondent Banking or equivalent position holder AND Group Money Laundering Prevention Officer, Global Head of Anti-Money Laundering, Chief Compliance Officer, Global Head of Financial Crimes Compliance OR equivalent)

Intesa Sanpaolo S.p.A. (Financial Institution name) is fully committed to the fight against financial crime and makes every effort to remain in full compliance with all applicable financial crime laws, regulations and standards in all of the jurisdictions in which it does business and holds accounts.

The Financial Institution understands the critical importance of having effective and sustainable controls to combat financial crime in order to protect its reputation and to meet its legal and regulatory obligations.

The Financial Institution recognises the importance of transparency regarding parties to transactions in international payments and has adopted/is committed to adopting these standards.

The Financial Institution further certifies it complies with / is working to comply with the Wolfsberg Correspondent Banking Principles and the Wolfsberg Trade Finance Principles. The information provided in this Wolfsberg CBDDQ will be kept current and will be updated no less frequently than every eighteen months.

The Financial Institution commits to file accurate supplemental information on a timely basis.

I, Christian Ramon (Global Head of Correspondent Banking or equivalent), certify that I have read and understood this declaration, that the answers provided in this Wolfsberg CBDDQ are complete and correct to my honest belief, and that I am authorised to execute this declaration on behalf of the Financial Institution.

I, Raffaele Cosimo (MLRO or equivalent), certify that I have read and understood this declaration, that the answers provided in this Wolfsberg CBDDQ are complete and correct to my honest belief, and that I am authorised to execute this declaration on behalf of the Financial Institution.

Christian Ramon August 26, 2023 (Signature & Date)

Raffaele Cosimo August 24th, 2023 (Signature & Date)